

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/580,192             | MUELLER ET AL.      |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Frank M. Lawrence      | 1797                |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Frank M. Lawrence. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul Killos. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 February 2009

**Time:** 3 pm e.t.

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant authorized an examiner's amendment to correct a typographical error in claim 17. The examiner advised applicant that it appears that the first inventor's name is misspelled on the application data sheet.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)